

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,942		09/18/2003	Richard Scott Bourgeois	128613 6523 EXAMINER	
41838	7590	11/13/2006			
		TRIC COMPANY (CHU, HELEN OK		
C/O FLETCHER YODER P. O. BOX 692289				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	HOUSTON, TX 77269-2289			1745	
				DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,942	BOURGEOIS, RICHARD SCOTT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Helen O. Chu	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	/ IO OFT TO EVENDE AMONTH!	COLOR THIRTY (20) DAVO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	eptember 2003.					
,	· 					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 38-43</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-15,18-21,38 and 40-43</u> is/are re	jected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,16 and 39</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 September 2003</u> is/a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	amilier. Note the attached Office	Action of form P 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document		N-				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	· ·	su in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)	0 □ 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	(DTO 442)				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/18/2003</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group 1, claims 1-21, 38-43 in the reply filed on September14, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the circular cross sectional profile or semi-circular cross sectional profile in claims 2, 16 and a fuel cell isolation device (component 100) has a cross-sectional profile that substantially mirrors that of the fuel cell stack sealed passage (component 32) in claim 39 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Art Unit: 1745

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-16, 18-21, 38, 40, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bossel (US Patent 6,344,290 B1) in view of Oko et al (US Patent 6,218,038 B1) as evidenced by Wygnaski (US Patent 7,021,603 B3).
- 4. In regard to claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11-15, 19, 20 and 38, the Bossel reference discloses a, fuel cell stack assembly comprising: fuel cell stacks with fuel cells separated by separating plates (Applicant's interconnector, (Figure 2, Component 1) which electrically coupled together such that at least one sealed (Figure 2, Component 23) manifold (Figure 2, Component 6). The left side of the manifold is a wall that defines a chamber (Figure 3A-F, Component 5) and the wall comprising at least one opening

Art Unit: 1745

extending therethrough (Figure 3A-F, Component 4) in flow communication with said chamber; and at least one fuel cell isolation device coupled in flow communication with each said fuel cell hollow manifold but the Bossel reference does not disclose a fuel cell isolation device to vary positions during fuel cell stack assembly operation for selectively stopping fluid flow through at least one of said fuel cells. However, the Oko et al. reference discloses a magnetic valve that selectively regulate communication of the fluid between the manifold passageway and the channels (Abstract) so if a fuel cell fails shutting down the power of the entire fuel cell is not require (Column 2, Lines 13-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to incorporate the magnetic valve in front of all fluid passageways and channels as disclosed by Oko et al. of the fuel cell stack with fluid passageways between each separator as disclosed by Bossel in order maintain the fuel cell without any down time of operations. In addition, when there is no fluid flowing through a fuel cell the MEA cannot electrochemically react and cannot produce any currents, therefore would isolate itself from the other fuel cell. Furthermore, the magnetic valves can be mechanically moved by a motor and a magnet assembly (Applicant's actuator) that may be mounted outside of the plate module and be positioned to remotely control one of the valves. In some embodiments external magnets may be used and some embodiments the positions of magnets can be manually changed (Column 5, Lines 45-53). The Wynaski reference provides evidence that magnetic actuators exist to control the opening and closing of the valves which resembles the Oko et al. reference of the motor and magnet assembly

Application/Control Number: 10/665,942

Art Unit: 1745

In regard to claims 4, 40, the Oko et al. illustrates that the separation length between two fuels is smaller then the length of isolation device. Figure 9 illustrates a bipolar plate of which an MEA is one side of the bipolar plate (Component 60) and another fuel cell is on the other side of the bipolar plate. The separation device (Component 199) extends pass the two fuel cells.

In regards to claim 8 and 14, the Oko et al. reference illustrates fuel cell further comprises at least one keyway (Figure 6, Component 107) positioned adjacent at least one of said manifold first end and said manifold second end, said keyway facilitates positioning said at least one fuel cell isolation device.

In regards to claim 10, the Oko et al. reference illustrates a valve (Figure 9, Component 199), which controls the movement of the magnet (Figure 9, Component 200) in fuel inlets and outlets.

In regards to claim 18 and 21, the Oko et al. reference discloses a keyway that is shared between the anode cooler plate of one fuel cell and a shared bipolar plate of another cell (Figure 6). The Examiner has interpreted this claim based on Figure 7 of Applicant's application.

In regards to claim 41, the magnets have magnetic fields that are capable of carrying a transferring current, therefore, it obvious that the magnet can electrically couple one separator plate to another separator plate.

In regards to claim 43, the Oko et al. reference discloses a magnet (Figure 6, Component 145) with extending tabs (Figure 6, Component 142) to position the magnet relative to the fuel cell stack

Application/Control Number: 10/665,942 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745 `

5. Claims 6, 17, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bossel (US Patent 6,344,290 B1) in view of Oko et al. (US Patent 6,218,038 B1), as applied to claims 1, 11, 38, and in further view of Hsu (US Patent 5,833,822)

The Bossel and Oko et al. reference discloses the elements of claims 1, 11, 38 but does not disclose, fuel cell isolation device comprises an external surface; at least one of a wire mesh, a metallic seal, and a brush extends outwardly from said external surface. However, the Hsu reference discloses a flow adjustment element made of wire mesh to restrict the flow of the input reactants into the reactant flow passageways (Column 5, Lines 20-23). Therefore, it would be obvious to one ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to use the magnetic valve for the fuel passages as disclosed by Oko et al. and the wire mesh as disclosed by Hsu to further prevent any seepage of the fluids to the passageways.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen O. Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-5162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Trainer Susy Tsang Foster can be reached on (571) 272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,942 Page 7

`Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

HOC